

## PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(MBHB Docket No. 01-472)

In the Applica	tion of:	)
	Arun C. Alex	) )
Serial No.:	09/920,980	) Examiner: Patel, Jay P.
Filing Date:	August 2, 2001	) Group Art Unit: 2616 )
For:	PDSN Fast Tunnel Lookup	)
Mail Stop Issu	ue Fee	

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
  - a. Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85) (in duplicate);
  - b. Fee Address Indication form (PTO/SB/47);
  - c. Comments on Statements of Reasons for Allowance;
  - d. Check for \$1,400.00 for payment of Issue Fee; and
  - e. Return Receipt Postcard.
- 2. With respect to fees:
  - a. A check in the amount of \$1,400.00 is enclosed for payment of Issue Fee; and
  - b. Please charge any underpayment to our Deposit Account, No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed.

3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the papers, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on December 21, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Βv

Daniel P. Williams Reg. No. 58,704



In re Application of: Alex et al.	)		
Serial No.: 09/920,980	)	Art Unit:	2616
Filed: August 2, 2001	)	Examiner:	Patel, Jay P
Title: PDSN FAST TUNNEL LOOKUP	) )		

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENTS OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In the Notice of Allowance mailed October 2, 2006 in connection with the above-referenced patent application, the Examiner issued statements of reasons for allowance. Applicant respectfully submits that, in general, statements of reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances where the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the reasons for allowing one or more claims. In the present case, however, Applicant believes that the record does make clear the reasons for allowance. Thus, while noting the Examiner's statements, Applicant comments that the art of record, alone and in combination, fails to anticipate or render obvious the combination of elements recited by each of the allowed claims.

Thus, to the extent that the Examiner has quoted or paraphrased less than the entire combination of elements of any claim or claims in any of the Examiners' above-referenced statements, Applicant hereby objects on the record. Accordingly, Applicant submits that each allowed claim of the present application should be construed in view of the entirety of that

claim's combination of elements, and limited neither by any of the Examiners' statements nor by the recitation therein of any specific claim elements.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: December 21, 2006

Daniel P. Williams

Registration No. 58,704

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

300 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois, 60606

Telephone: (312) 913-0001 Facsimile: (312) 913-0002